1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	INITED		DICTDICT	COLIDA
IIN THE	UINLEID	2 I A I E 2	DISTRICT	COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SONNY RAY HARDAWAY,

No. C 08-3677 WHA (PR)

Plaintiff,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

vs.

JEREMY FOGEL,

Defendant.

Plaintiff, an inmate at Kern Valley State Prison, has filed a pro se civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. He has been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

DISCUSSION

A. STANDARD OF REVIEW

Federal courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which prisoners seek redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review the court must identify any cognizable claims, and dismiss any claims which are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. *Id.* at 1915A(b)(1),(2).

B. LEGAL CLAIMS

Plaintiff asserts claims against United States District Judge Jeremy Fogel, of this court.

Judge Fogel is alleged to have ruled against plaintiff in a previous case for various improper reasons, including racism and in retaliation for petitioner having filed a petition for a writ of

mandamus in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

complaints, unless no amendment could save the complaint).

1

45

6

stated a claim.

789

10 11

13

12

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

defendant for actions taken in his judicial capacity, this case will be dismissed without leave to amend. *See Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1127, 1129 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc) (district courts must afford pro se prisoner litigants an opportunity to amend to correct any deficiency in their

Plaintiff's claims clearly arise from actions taken by Judge Fogel in his judicial capacity,

Because no amendment could change the fact that plaintiff's claims are asserted against

for which he is absolutely immune from claims for damages. See Pierson v. Ray, 386 U.S. 547,

553-55 (1967) (applying judicial immunity to actions under 42 U.S.C. § 1983). Plaintiff has not

CONCLUSION

This case is **DISMISSED** with prejudice. The clerk shall close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August _____, 2008.

WILLIAM ALSUP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

G:\PRO-SE\WHA\CR.08\HARDAWAY3677.DSM.wpd

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SONNY RAY HARDAWAY,	Case Number: CV08-03677 WHA
Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
v.	
JEREMY FOGEL,	
Defendant.	_/

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on August 21, 2008, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Sonny Ray Hardaway P-45579 Kern Valley State Prison A3-107L PO Box 5101 Delano, CA 93216

Dated: August 21, 2008

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: D. Toland, Deputy Clerk